

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

**DATE MAILED:** 

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. E 514413-3768 HACKER 09/371,612 08/10/99 **EXAMINER** HM12/1020 CLARDY, S C/O FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP 745 FIFTH AVENUE **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK NY 10151 1616

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

10/20/00

## Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/371,612** 

Applicant(s)

Hacker et al

Examiner

S. Mark Clardy

Group Art Unit 1616



Responsive to communication(s) filed on 427, 2000	·
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except f in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failur application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extendig CFR 1.136(a).	e to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ing Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obje	cted to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is pproved disapproved.
$\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* X None of the CERTIFIED copies	of the priority documents have been
🛛 received.	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N	umber)
$\square$ received in this national stage application from the	e International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	•
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/371,612

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 1-10 are pending in this application.

Applicants' claims are drawn to uses, compositions, and methods of using herbicidal compositions comprising:

A) a broad spectrum herbicide (glufosinate, glyphosate, imidazolinones, protoporphyrinogen oxidase (PPO) inhibitors), and

B) a second herbicide

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: compositions comprising synergistic combinations of herbicides.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/371,612 Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a

A telephone call was made to on to request an oral election to the above restriction

A telephone can was made to on to request an oral election to the above restriction

requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election

of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee

required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to S. Mark Clardy whose telephone number is (703) 308-4550.

S. Mark Clardy

Primary Examiner

AU 1616